

Pioneer Community Day School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Pioneer Community Day
Street	2060 Sixth St.
City, State, Zip	Oroville, Ca, 95965-3251
Phone Number	530.538.2940
Principal	Jeff Kuhn
E-mail Address	jkuhn@thermalito.org
Web Site	http://www.thermalito.org/
CDS Code	04615490101485

District Contact Information	
District Name	Thermalito Union
Phone Number	530.538.2900
Superintendent	Gregory Blake
E-mail Address	gblake@thermalito.org
Web Site	http://www.thermalito.org/

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Our vision for Pioneer Community Day School is for all students to develop a strong foundation in academics and to develop socialization skills enabling them to be successful in the school community, the community at large and the future work force. We focus on a respectful and safe learning environment. We strive to promote students that will become productive, employed and socially responsible, self-motivated citizens. Our daily mission at Pioneer CDS is to prepare our students, academically and socially, for success in their next educational setting whether that is the comprehensive elementary school or middle school.

School Description – Our attendance fluctuates to meet the needs of the comprehensive school sites in our district and to honor agreements with our neighboring school districts. There are typically a total of ten students in grades 2, 3, 4 and 5 who come from a variety of ethnic and cultural backgrounds. The faculty and support staff is progressive minded, implementing innovative ideas and proven methods with students. It is a pleasure to serve the families in our community.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 2	2
Grade 4	2
Grade 5	1
Grade 6	1
Total Enrollment	6

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	0
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	33.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	66.7
Two or More Races	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100
English Learners	0
Students with Disabilities	16.7
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	1	1	1	75
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	We are currently using 2017 McGraw-Hill ELA/ELD Wonders curriculum for grades TK-5. The textbooks are in excellent condition; and there are textbooks available for all students.	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	We are currently using the 2016 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Math Expressions curriculum for grades K-5. The curriculum is in great condition and there are enough textbooks for all students.	Yes	0.0 %
Science	We are currently using the 2008 edition of the Pearson Scott-Foresman Science curriculum. The materials are in good condition and there are enough textbooks for all students.	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	We are currently using the 2007 edition of the Houghton-Mifflin Social Studies curriculum. The materials are in good condition and there are enough textbooks for all students.	Yes	0.0 %

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our Community Day School is a newer facility that is inspected and maintained annually. The facility is in good repair with no need for improvements.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/04/2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/04/2017				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	--	--	27	26	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	--	--	20	21	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)		--		42		56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2016-17)

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2016–17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	
2015–16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Because we are such a small school, the classroom aide, the teacher, the counselor and the principal are able to interact with families on a daily basis. There are several opportunities that exist for interested parents/guardians to become involved in our school. Parents are solicited each year with inquiries as to how they and other family members might like to be involved.

Parents are invited to serve on our School Site Council, and we solicit their assistance with revision of the Single Plan for Student Achievement, our Parent Involvement Policy and our Family-School Compact. Parents also attend Back to School Night, Open House and Parent Conferences.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate							11.5	10.7	9.7
Graduation Rate							80.95	82.27	83.77

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016 (One-Year Rate)

Group	Graduating Class of 2016		
	School	District	State

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	*	*	71.4	12.3	12.2	9.9	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Pioneer Community Day School takes a serious position when it comes to school safety. The school safety plan was developed with input from teachers, staff, and parents with a goal of making the school safer for our students and community. The plan provides a comprehensive plan on various safety issues that affect the school.

The plan addresses the dress code, certain policies pertaining to harassment, emergencies, and safe egress on and off the campus. Survey data is gathered to assist in the action plan of the safety plan to ensure we are meeting the needs targeted for our school. It is reviewed annually with changes made to and improvement via teachers, students, and the School Site Council. The completed plan is sent to the board of trustees for approval every year. The plan is available at the site and can be checked out to any parent wishing to review it or provide input. Our goal is to ensure that our school is a safe place for students to develop academically and socially.

The Thermalito Union Elementary School District has a district-wide comprehensive School Safety Plan that is updated annually, pursuant to Education Code 32280-32289. Our vision is to empower all stakeholders in order to create a safe and challenging environment where all students and staff can be safe and grow academically, socially and emotionally. We will provide an environment which allows for the development of attitudes that promote healthful physical, social, academic and mental habits. This will be accomplished by encouraging pride in self achievement, respect and responsibility toward self and others, recognition of students and staff and positive relationships with young people.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	75

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
5				3	6							
Other	4	6						6	6			

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.05	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.05	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$21,718.26	\$0.00	\$21,718.26	\$60,368.56
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,863.83	\$75,121
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	176.2	
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$74,194
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

We have programs in place to support our students in order for them to be successful (funded by LCFF):

* Core ELA curriculum is the Wonders program - McGraw-Hill Education

* iReady on-line Instruction is a supplemental program for all K-5 students; it differentiates instruction to maximize student growth in both reading and math.

- The Accelerated Reader Program (AR) —we use this supplemental program encouraging independent reading at increasingly higher instructional levels using quizzes to assess comprehension and vocabulary development. Last year we shifted to the Web based version called Renaissance Place and provided training for the staff.
- The Houghton Mifflin 60 Intervention Program is available for EL students and is a core program utilized by the general education classroom teachers as a support for the 30 min/day of designated English Language Development instruction.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,318	\$47,034
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,420	\$73,126
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,253	\$91,838
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$102,575	\$116,119
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$102,552	\$119,610
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$115,194
Superintendent Salary	\$158,073	\$178,388
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses		

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the recent three-year period.

Pioneer CDS teachers are afforded professional development opportunities similar to those offered to general education teachers in the Thermalito Union Elementary School District. In the last three years, teachers participated in Accelerated Reading, Thinking Maps, Nurtured Heart, Brain-based Research, English Language Development (ELD) and New State Standards training in English Language Arts and Math. There was also training in CPI and a seminar regarding ODD. During the 2017/18 school year teacher and administrators will have in-services with a consultant hired from Solution Tree to work with the district on professional learning communities (PLC's). In addition, the elementary teachers will receive in-services on the new ELA adoption Wonders-McGraw -Hill.

Once a week, collaboration time with colleagues at the comprehensive school sites is also made available.